uamic

Silver-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Azidation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids

Yuchao Zhu,† Xinyao Li,† Xiaoyang Wang,† Xiaoqiang Huang,† Tao Shen,† Yiqun Zhang,† Xiang Sun,† Miancheng Zou,[†] Song Song,*^{,†} and Ning Jiao*,^{†,‡}

† State Key Laboratory of Natural an[d B](#page-2-0)iomimetic Drugs, S[cho](#page-2-0)ol of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Xue Yuan Road 38, Beijing 100191, China

‡ State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Weijin Road 94, Tianjin 300071, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [The catalytic](#page-2-0) decarboxylative nitrogenation of aliphatic carboxylic acids for the synthesis of alkyl azides is reported. A series of tertiary, secondary, and primary organoazides were prepared from easily available aliphatic carboxylic acids by using $K_2S_2O_8$ as the oxidant and $PhSO₂N₃$ as the nitrogen source. The EPR experiment sufficiently proved that an alkyl radical process was generated in the process, and

DFT calculations further supported the SET process followed by a stepwise S_H2 reaction to afford azide product.

A liphatic azides are highly synthetically useful and serve as
direct precursors of various N-containing molecules within a single-step transformation.¹ Moreover, in drug discovery, lead compounds are designed by introducing the azido moiety to enhance biological activity.^{[2](#page-3-0)} Additionally, due to the development of "click" cycloaddition, azides have also achieved a significant position in bio[co](#page-3-0)njugation and material science. 3 Therefore, chemists are always interested in more convenient and quick methods for the synthesis of aliphatic azides fro[m](#page-3-0) readily available materials.⁴ For some prominent examples, Hartwig's group^{5a} and Groves' group^{5b} independently developed aliphatic C−H azidation r[ea](#page-3-0)ctions using iron and manganese catalysis, respec[tiv](#page-3-0)ely (Scheme 1a).

Scheme 1. Decarboxylation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids

On the basis of their stability, easy operability, ready availability, and low cost, carboxylic acids are frequently used as raw materials in organic synthesis.⁶ During the numerous transformations of the carboxylic group to other functional groups, decarboxylative functionali[za](#page-3-0)tion has become an important strategy to build new C−C or C−X bonds because of the excellent site selectivity of this strategy. For instance, decarboxylative reactions of alkynyl acids,⁷ α -ketone acids,⁸ alkenyl acids, 9 and benzoic acid derivatives¹⁰ to construct new C(sp)−C (or X) or C(sp²)−C bonds have b[ee](#page-3-0)n widely reporte[d.](#page-3-0) Compared [t](#page-3-0)o the above success, the formati[on](#page-3-0) of $C(sp^3)$ –C (or X) bonds via decarboxylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids remains a challenging subject.¹¹ The decarboxylative halogenation was achieved in many named reactions such as the Hunsdiecker reaction,¹² Cris[sto](#page-3-0)l−Firth reaction,¹³ or Kochi reaction.¹⁴

However, stoichio[met](#page-3-0)ric metal (Ag, Hg, Pb) [re](#page-3-0)agents are indispen[sab](#page-3-0)le in these named reactions (Scheme 1b). Liu's group reported the decarboxylative coupling of activated aliphatic acids (Scheme 1c).¹⁵ The catalytic decarboxylation of simple aliphatic acids was not achieved until Li's pioneering work.¹⁶ Using catalytic silv[er](#page-3-0) as a catalyst, Li and co-workers realized the decarboxylative chlorination,^{16a} fluorination,^{16b} as [w](#page-3-0)ell as alkynylation $16c$ of various aliphatic carboxylic acids and provided an efficient approach to con[stru](#page-3-0)ct $C(sp^3)$ - [C \(o](#page-3-0)r X) bonds (Scheme 1c[\). M](#page-3-0)acMillan's, 17a Xiao's, 17b and Waser's 17c groups employed photoredox chemistry as a powerful tool to realize decarboxylative reactions [of a](#page-3-0)liphatic [ca](#page-3-0)rboxylic acid[s \(](#page-3-0)Scheme 1c). By developing the highly efficient Mn catalyst, Groves' group also reported decarboxylative fluorination with PhIO as oxidant (Scheme 1c).¹⁸ Despite the significance of these methods to generate new C(sp³)–C or C(sp³)–halo bonds, the decarbox-

Received: July 26, 2015 Published: September 18, 2015

ylation of aliphatic carboxylic acid to form a C−N bond has been rarely reported.¹⁹ Herein, as continuous efforts in developing azidation reactions, $4b$, j , we describe the silver-catalyzed decarboxylative azid[atio](#page-3-0)n of aliphatic carboxylic acids. A series of primary, secondary[, an](#page-3-0)d tertiary azides were prepared by the present approach. The mechanistic studies indicated that radical process was involved in this reaction.

Optimization studies using adamantane-1-carboxylic acid (1a) as the model substrate are summarized in Table 1. With $AgNO₃$

Table 1. Examination of Reaction Conditions^a

		$[N_3]$ соон	conditions		N_3
	1a			2a	
entry	catalyst	$[N_3]$	oxidant	solvent	yield b (%)
$\mathbf{1}$	AgNO ₃	NaN ₂	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	nd
2	AgNO ₃	TMSN ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	nd
3	AgNO ₃	Togni- N_3	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	nd
4	AgNO ₃	EtSO ₂ N ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	16
5	AgNO ₃	PhSO ₂ N ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	18
6	AgF	PhSO ₂ N ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	18
7	CuBr	$PhSO_2N_3$	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	1
8	FeCI ₂	$PhSO_2N_3$	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO/H ₂ O	\mathfrak{p}
9	AgF	$PhSO_2N_3$	$K_2S_2O_8$	DMSO	1
10	AgF	$PhSO_2N_3$	$K_2S_2O_8$	EA/H ₂ O	25
11	AgF	PhSO ₂ N ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O	72 $(75)^c$
12	AgNO ₃	PhSO ₂ N ₃	$K_2S_2O_8$	CH_3CN/H_2O	$72 (72)^c$
13	AgF	PhSO ₂ N ₃	PIDA	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O	trace
14	AgF	PhSO ₂ N ₃	TBHP	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O	nd

^aReaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), catalyst (20 mol %), $[N_3]$ (0.6 mmol), oxidant (0.3 mmol), solvent (6 mL), stirred at 55 °C under $\frac{1}{2}$ argon atmosphere. $\frac{b}{2}$ identical was determined by $\frac{1}{1}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using $Cl_2CHCHCl_2$ as an internal standard. Isolated yield.

(20 mol %) as catalyst, $K_2S_2O_8$ (1 equiv) as oxidant, and DMSO/ $H₂O(1:1)$ as solvent, various azide reagents were tested (entries 1−5). When NaN₃, TMSN₃, or togni-N₃ was used as azide source, no product (2a) was detected (entries 1−3). However, 16% yield of 2a was obtained with E t SO_2N_3 as the azidation reagent (entry 4), and this result encouraged us to test other sulfonyl azide reagents. When $EfSO_2N_3$ was changed to $PhSO₂N₃$, the yield was improved to 18% (entry 5). Other silver salts such as AgF showed almost the same catalytic efficiency compared to that of AgNO₃ (entry 6). With CuBr or FeCl_2 as the catalyst, only less than 5% yield of 2a was detected (entries 7−8). When we screened other solvents (entries 9−11), to our delight, the yield increased to 72% in MeCN/H₂O $(1:1)$ (entry 11). AgNO₃ exhibited similar catalytic behavior as AgF (entry 12), and we chose AgF because it more stable than AgNO₃ under light 20 (see the Supporting Information). It was demonstrated that $K_2S_2O_8$ was the best oxidant. When other oxidants suc[h a](#page-3-0)s PIDA or TBHP were used, 2a was detected in very low yield (entries 13 and 14).

With AgF as the catalyst and $PhSO_2N_3$ as the azide source, we investigated the substrate scope of this useful decarboxylation. Aliphatic carboxylic acids 1a−c bearing an adamantane skeleton reacted efficiently to give the corresponding azides 2a−c in good yields (68−79%) (Scheme 2). The tertiary azides 2d−g were obtained by the decarboxylative azidation of acids 1d−g in good yields. Secondary acids showed slightly lower reactivity

Scheme 2. Decarboxylative Azidation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids.^{*a*}

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.3 mmol), AgF (20 mol %), $PhSO_2N_3$ (0.6 mmol), $K_2S_2O_8$ (0.3 mmol), MeCN/H₂O (3 mL:3 mL), stirred at 55 $^{\circ}$ C under argon atmosphere for 24 h. Isolated yield. $^{\circ}$ Yield was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using Cl2CHCHCl2 as an internal standard. ^c AgF (30 mol %) was used, and the reaction time was 48 h. d MesSO₂N₃ was used instead of PhSO₂N₃.

compared to that of tertiary carboxylic acid and were converted to secondary azides 2h−m in 44−64% yields. The 1-azido-4-tertbutylcyclohexane $(2n)$ was obtained in 59% yield (*trans/cis* = 4.3:1). The decarboxylative azidation of primary carboxylic acids afforded the products with only moderate yields even when 30 mol % of AgF was used as the catalyst. The bromo and phthalamide groups were tolerated under the conditions, affording the desired product 2q,r in 43% and 40% yields, respectively. The decarboxylative azidation of benzoic acid derivative 1s did not work under the present reaction conditions.

In order to show the importance of the azidation products, we synthesized memantine 3, whose hydrochloride is an antisenile dementis drug, 21 by the reduction of azide 2b in the presence of $H₂$ (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Memantine

The results in Scheme 2 show that the reactivity of tertiary alkyl acids was higher than that of secondary or primary alkyl acids. Thus, we speculated that a radical process may be involved in the present decarboxylative reaction. To prove our hypothesis, 2 equiv of TEMPO was added to the standard reaction system. As expected, no azide $2a$ was detected (eq 1). By treatment of 2methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpentanoic acid (1i) under the standard conditions, the cyclic product 4 was sep[arate](#page-2-0)d in 17% yield along with azidation product $2i$ (eq 2). The two experiments strongly

suggested the generation of carbon radical in the decarboxylative process.

Although the control experiments have provided indirect proof for a radical process, we still want to trap the carbon radical through EPR experiments. To our delight, when 1a was exposed under standard conditions for 50 min, we successfully trapped the signal of carbon radical (Figure 1). This experiment directly proves the existence of carbon radical and indicates that the carbon radical is initially generated and then reacts with azide reagent.

Figure 1. EPR experiment.

To further unravel the mechanism, a DFT calculation investigation into the Ag-catalyzed decarboxylative azidation of propionic acid as the model reaction was carried out (Figure 2). 22

Figure 2. DFT-computed energy profiles for Ag-catalyzed decarboxylative azidation.

 $Ag_2S_2O_8$ was in situ generated from AgF and $K_2S_2O_8$ as the starting point which undergoes O−O bond cleavage to oxidize Ag^I to $\bar{\text{Ag}}^{\text{II}}$, as in the results for the dimer of AgSO₄. The oxidation process is endergonic by 8.9 kcal/mol. Once Ag^{II} was formed, it reacted with propionic acid through a SET process to deliver propionic acid radical. Although this is endergonic by 10.8 kcal/ mol, it could occur as a reversible process under the condition used. The propionic acid radical undergoes decarboxylation through transition state TS1 almost barrierlessly ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 2.4$ kcal/mol) to afford ethyl radical. This decarboxylation step is largely endergonic by 13.5 kcal/mol and is considered as an irreversible process. Ethyl radical reacts with benzenesulfonyl azide through two homolytic substitution (S_H2) pathways. When ethyl radical attacks the terminal N of benzenesulfonyl azide, the S_H 2 process is stepwise. The first step corresponds to the C−N bond formation through TS2 with an energy barrier of 12.9 kcal/ mol to deliver the stable N-radical intermediate INT reversibly.

The subsequent N−S bond cleavage through TS3 requires only 8.0 kcal/mol in an activation free energy to give the azidation product. When ethyl radical attacks the alternative internal N of benzenesulfonyl azide, the S_H2 undergoes a concerted process without any intermediate to provide azidation product directly. However, this process through TS4 requires an energy barrier of 25.6 kcal/mol, which is higher by 12.7 kcal/mol in energy than **TS2.** The stepwise S_H2 process via **TS2** is favored over the concerted one via TS4, which presumably is due to the existence of stable INT. When $TMSN₃$ was used as another azido source, the N-radical intermediate INT is less stable, leading to the higher energy barrier of 19.2 kcal/mol (6.3 kcal/mol higher than $PhSO₂N₃$). This difference is consistent with the experimental observations.

Based on the experiment results as well as DFT calculations, we proposed a plausible mechanism involving the catalytic generation of carbon radical. As Scheme 4 shows, Ag^I was first

oxidized into Ag^{II} intermediate by $K_2S_2O_8^{23}$ and then the aliphatic carboxylic acids underwent an SET process to generate the corresponding carbon radical and release $CO₂$. The carbon radical then attacked $PhSO_2N_3$ through a stepwise S_H2 process that implicated the intermediacy of N-radical INT to afford azide product and sulfonyl radical. The sulfonyl radical underwent a process of releasing SO_2 and generating aryl radical which was quenched by either H-abstraction or a reduction−protonation sequence to generate the corresponding aromatic compound (see the Supporting Information).

In conclusion, silver-catalyzed decarboxylative nitrogenation of aliphatic carboxylic acids under mild conditions has been developed. Readily available aliphatic carboxylic acids with broad substrate scope were fully compatible to produce a series of tertiary, secondary, and primary organoazides by employing $PhSO₂N₃$ as the azide source. EPR experiments provided strong evidence for the SET process to deliver carbon radical and DFT calculations, further revealing the subsequent stepwise S_H2 process that implicates the intermediacy of N-radical species to afford the azide product.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02155.

> Experimental procedures, full characterization of products, and copies of NMR spectra (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: ssongchem@gmail.com.

*E-mail: jiaoning@pku.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Organic Letters
■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (Grant No. 2015CB856600), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21325206 and 21172006), and the National Young Top-notch Talent Support Program are greatly appreciated.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For reviews on organoazides, see: (a) Brase, S.; Gil, C.; Knepper, ̈ K.; Zimmermann, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5188. (b) Minozzi, M.; Nanni, D.; Spagnolo, P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7830. (c) Bräse, S.; Banert, K. Organic Azides: Syntheses and Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010. (d) Driver, T. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3831. (e) Lapointe, G.; Kapat, A.; Weidner, K.; Renaud, P. Pure Appl. Chem. 2012, 84, 1633. (f) Chiba, S. Synlett 2012, 23, 21.

(2) (a) Huryn, D. M.; Okabe, M. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1745. (b) Kolb, H. C.; Sharpless, K. B. Drug Discovery Today 2003, 8, 1128.

(3) For click chemistry, see: (a) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2004. (b) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596. (c) Thirumurugan, P.; Matosiuk, D.; Jozwiak, K. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 4905.

(4) For some recent azidation reactions, see: (a) Lubriks, D.; Sokolovs, I.; Suna, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15436. (b) Tang, C.; Jiao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18924. (c) Deng, Q.; Bleith, T.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5356. (d) Song, W.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6576. and references therein (e) Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Li, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11862. (f) Vita, M. V.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3246. (g) Liu, Z.; Liu, J.; Zhang, L.; Liao, P.; Song, J.; Bi, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5305. (h) Klahn, P.; Erhardt, H.; Kotthaus, A.; Kirsch, S. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7913. (i) Galligan, M. J.; Akula, R.; Ibrahim, H. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 600. (j) Yin, H.; Wang, T.; Jiao, N. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2302. (k) Fan, Y.; Wan, W.; Ma, G.; Gao, W.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S.; Hao, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5733. (l) Sun, X.; Li, X.; Song, S.; Zhu, Y.; Liang, Y.-F.; Jiao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 6059.

(5) (a) Sharma, A.; Hartwig, J. F.Nature 2015, 517, 600. (b) Huang, X.; Bergsten, T. M.; Groves, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5300.

(6) For reviews on decarboxylative reactions, see: (a) Dzik, W. I.; Lange, P. L.; Gooßen, L. J. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2671. (b) Shang, R.; Liu, L. Sci. China: Chem. 2011, 54, 1670. (c) Weaver, J. D.; Recio, A.; Grenning, A. J.; Tunge, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1846. (d) Rodríguez, N.; Gooßen, L. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5030. (e) Gooßen, L. J.; Rodríguez, N.; Gooßen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3100.

(7) (a) Zhang, P.; Zhang, L.; Gao, Y.; Xu, J.; Fang, H.; Tang, G.; Zhao, Y. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 7839. (b) Sun, F.; Gu, Z. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2222. (c) Hu, G.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4464. (d) Jia, W.; Jiao, N. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2000. (e) Pan, D.; Zhang, C.; Ding, S.; Jiao, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 4751. (f) Yu, M.; Pan, D.; Chen, W.; Jiao, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1287. (g) Moon, J.; Jang, M.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1403. (h) Zhao, D.; Gao, C.; Su, X.; He, Y.; You, J.; Xue, Y. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 9049. (i) Zhang, W.; Zhang, X.; Li, J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5259. (j) Feng, C.; Loh, T. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4779.

(8) (a) Tan, H.; Li, H.; Ji, W.; Wang, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8374. (b) Li, H.; Li, P.; Tan, H.; Wang, L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14432. (c) Kim, M.; Park, J.; Sharma, S.; Kim, A.; Park, E.; Kwak, J. H.; Jung, Y. H.; Kim, I. S. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 925. (d) Li, H.; Li, P.; Wang, L. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9170. (e) Fang, P.; Li, M.-Z.; Ge, H.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11898. (f) Li, M.; Wang, C.; Ge, H. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2062. (g) Gooßen, L. J.; Rudolphi, F.; Oppel, C.; Rodríguez, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3043.

(9) (a) Huang, H.; Jia, K.; Chen, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1881. (b) Singh, R.; Allam, B. K.; Singh, N.; Kumari, K.; Singh, S. K.; Singh, K. N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2656. (c) Li, S.; Li, X.; Yang, F.; Wu, Y. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1076. (d) Wu, Y.; Liu, L.; Yan, K.; Xu, P.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8118. (e) Hu, J.; Zhao, N.; Yang, B.;

Wang, G.; Guo, L.-N.; Liang, Y.-M.; Yang, S.-D. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5516.

(10) (a) Kan, J.; Huang, S.; Lin, J.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2199. (b) Tang, J.; Gooßen, L. J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2664. (c) Song, B.; Knauber, T.; Gooßen, L. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2954. (d) Bhadra, S.-y.; Dzik, W. I.; Goossen, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9938. (e) Cornella, J.; Righi, M.; Larrosa, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9429. (f) Dai, J.-J.; Liu, J.-H.; Luo, D.-F.; Liu, L. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 677. (g) Sun, Z.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 992. (h) Zhang, S.-L.; Fu, Y.; Shang, R.; Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 638. (i) Hu, P.; Kan, J.; Su, W.; Hong, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2341. (j) Sun, Z.; Zhao, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6726. (k) Gooßen, L. J.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. Science 2006, 133, 662. (l) Forgione, P.; Brochu, M.-C.; St-Onge, M.; Thesen, K. H.; Bailey, M. D.; Bilodeau, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11350. (m) Tanaka, D.; Romeril, S. P.; Myers, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10323. (n) Nguyen, T. B.; Ermolenko, L.; Corbin, M.; Al-Mourabit, A. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1157.

(11) (a) Zuo, Z.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5257. (b) Zhang, C.; Seidel, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1798. (c) Bi, H.-P.; Zhao, L.; Liang, Y.-M.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 792. (d) Yin, L.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9610.

(12) Hunsdiecker, H.; Hunsdiecker, C. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B 1942, 75B, 291.

(13) Cristol, S. J.; Firth, W. C., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 280.

(14) (a) Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 2500. (b) Kochi, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3265. (c) Kochi, J. K. Science 1967, 155, 415.

(15) (a) Shang, R.; Yang, Z.-W.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, S.-L.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14391. (b) Shang, R.; Ji, D.-S.; Chu, L.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4470. (c) Shang, R.; Huang, Z.; Chu, L.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4240. (d) Shang, R.; Huang, Z.; Xiao, X.; Lu, X.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2465.

(16) (a) Wang, Z.; Zhu, L.; Yin, F.; Su, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4258. (b) Yin, F.; Wang, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10401. (c) Liu, X.; Wang, Z.; Cheng, X.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14330.

(17) (a) Ventre, S.; Petronijevic, F. R.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5654. (b) Zhou, Q.-Q.; Guo, W.; Ding, W.; Wu, X.; Chen, X.; Lu, L.-Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11196. (c) Le Vaillant, F.; Courant, T.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11200.

(18) Huang, X.; Liu, W.; Hooker, J. M.; Groves, J. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5241.

(19) During submission, a significant Ag-catalyzed decarboxylative azidation of aliphatic carboxylic acids was published: Liu, C.; Wang, X.; Li, Z.; Cui, L.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9820.

(20) Wu, Q.-S.; Cui, Y.; Yang, L.-M.; Zhang, G.-Y.; Gao, D.-Z. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 142, 168.

(21) Ataie, A.; Ataee, R.; Shadifar, M.; Shahabi, S.; Aghajanpour, S. M.; hosseinpour, Y. Inter. J. Mol. Cell. Med. 2012, 1, 145.

(22) All of the geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed at the (U)M06//(U)B3LYP level in Gaussian 09. Frisch, M. J.et al. Gaussian 09; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009. Computational details and references are given in the Supporting Information.

(23) (a) Anderson, J. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1651. (b) Anderson, J. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 986. (c) Patel, N. R.; Flowers, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5834.